

*Vicia faba*

*	*	*	*
.	.	-	-
.	.	.	.
Entisol-	<i>Vicia faba</i>		salorthid
(	)		2010-2009
4 2 0	S %98	1-	8 4 0
			1-
	1-	4 2	1-
			8,4
1000	/		
8)	1-	2475	
/		(	1-
		4 +	1-
		/	

. 2010 / 12 / 15  
 . 2011 / 4 / 13

Soil amendments

Mahamed 2006 Ali 1999 Zdhao)  
 .(2007 2008 Ghdami Shah savani 2007  
 (2000 wooding)  
 (2007 ) mohamed .  
 Zaho . Medicago sativa  
 ( 1999 )  
 ( 1998 shabena)  
 %158 %148 ( 2007 El Morsy)  
 ( 2001 ) ( 2007) %183  
 - ( Silt clay calcareous salorthid) ( 2 1 )  
 ( C<sub>2</sub> , C<sub>1</sub> , C<sub>0</sub> ) 1- ( 15 )  
 1- . 4 , 2 , 0 8 , 4 , 0 ( )  
 S %98 .  
 ( S<sub>2</sub> , S<sub>1</sub> , S<sub>0</sub> )  
 2009/10/27 *Vicia faba*  
 ( 25 ) ( 30 )  
 %46) . (1988 )  
 1- . N 40 ( N  
 1- . 80  
 . ( 1979 Fertilisar recommedations)

( 30 - )

. (1 )

(1965 Blake)

(1965 Day)

Ece 1:1

PH (1988 )

CaCo<sub>3</sub> ، Wakley-Black

(1954 Richard )

(1981 David) Hcl

1000

(1980 Terrie Steel)

5%

(L.D.S)

.1

430	- .	
490	- .	
80	- .	
Silty Clay		
1.39	M g . <sup>-3</sup> cm	
0.91	cm <sup>h</sup>	
28.7	%	
7.6		PH
5.21	dSm <sup>-</sup>	ECe
2.1	- .	
136	- .	CaCo <sub>3</sub>

(3 2 1)

1- . 8 4

4 + 1- . 8

3- . 1.13

1- .

(6 5 4)

1- . 4

1- . 8 , 4

1- . 2 0

1- . 2.9

4 + 1- . 4

- . 3.35

1- .

(2007 ) W1-marsy ( 2007 )

Mahamed

(2)

1- . 2 +

1- . 8 1- . 4  
1- . 4 30

1- . 8

1- . 2

8 . 1- . 2 +


1- . 8 6.25  
1- . 4 + 1- .

(2)

% 33.18 % 25.25


1- . 4 2

1- . 8 4  
% 40.06 % 37.01




1.

3- )




2.

3- )




3.


3- )



4. ( . )



5. ( . )



6. ( . )

.2

	( / )			( )			( )		
	S2	S1		S2	S1		S2	S1	
	S0			S0			S0		
13.11	16.34	15.12	4.25	5.50	4.25	23.75	27.50	24.50	C0
		7.89			30			19.25	
16.42			4.50			26.17			C1
	17.73	17.01		4.50	4.50		23.50	30	
17.46		14.19	5.75		4.50	27		25	C2
				6.25	6.25		25	26	
	18.23	19.01			4.75			29.50	
	17.48	17.11		5.42	5.00		25.50	26.83	
		12.48			4.01			24.50	
11.55 = SXC , 6.67 = S , 6.67 = C			2.44 = SXC , 1.41 = S , 1.41 = C			2.97 = 5 X C , 1.7 = S , 1.7 = C			(0.05)

/ 19.01

1- . 4 + 1- . 8

Zhao

(1999 )

N,P,K

(3)

1000 / /  
1- . 8

1- . 4

1- . 2 4

/ 73,34

+ 0 1- . 4 + 1- . 8

/ 22.17 1- . 0

/ (3)

5.25      1-      .      2      1-      .      8  
 /      6.5  
 .      1-      .      4 +      1-      .      8  
 1000  
 4      1000  
 4      1-      .      8  
 1-      .  
 % 37.63      2361      1000  
 (      1-      .      4 +      1-      .      4 )

.(2007      1998      Shabana )

.3

1-													
	1000 ( )							( / )					
	S2	S1	S0		S2	S1	S0	S2	S1	S0			
1825.67	1901.00	1810.50	1715.50	4.42	4.75	4.75	3.75	24.68	27.85	24.03	22.17	C0	
2061.00	2361.00	1655.50		3.92	3.75	4.00		30.80	28.23	35.84		C1	
1886.67	2166.50		1786	5.25	4.00		58.34	28.34		73.34	65.67	45.50	C2
	2147.83	1742.50		N.S	6.5	5.00		43.14	38.84				
	2136.61	1736.17	1889.33		4.58	5.00	4.00		32				
32.86 = sxc , 18.97 = s , 18.97 = c				3.96 = sxc , 2.27 = s , 2.27 = c				23.25 = sxc , 13.42 = s , 13.42 = c				(0.05)	

(4)

1-      .      8      ( )  
 %24.78      %136.8      1-      .      4



1- . 4 / 6600 / 5100  
 %34.80 %21.12  
 1- . 8 / 6600 / 5100  
 1- . 4 + 1- . 4 + 1- . 4 +  
 (4)  
 1- . 8 4  
 %118.19 %39.90  
 %38.70 % 38.33 1- . 4 2  
 1- . 4 + 1- . 8 / 2475  
 0.28 / 562.5  
 1- . 4 0.53  
 . (4 ) 1- . 2

**.4**

	S2	S1		S2	S1		S2	S1	
	S0			S0			S0		
886.83	948	1150	2221.33	2506.5	995	0.38	0.38	0.46	C0
1240.67	562.5		2771.67	2162.5	995	0.47	0.28		C1
1935	1060.5		5260	2540	3225	0.38	0.46	0.53	C2
	1521.5	1140		2550			0.49		
	2475	1800		6600	5100		0.42	0.36	
	1530			4095			0.38		
	1494.5	1490.5		3882.17	880		0.40	0.46	
	1077.5			3465.83	880		0.37		
508.34 = sxc , 293.49 = S , 293.49 = C			2060.26 = sxc , 1189.49 = S , 1189.49 = C			0.27 = sxc , 0.16 = s , 0.16 = c			(0.05)

PH

(2000 ) Wooding (1998 ) Shabana  
 . (2007 ) Mohamed (2001)

. 2001.  
 .75-69 (1) 6 .  
 . 1988 .  
 .1988.  
 .250-231 (2) 20  
 .1989.  
 . 276-257 (2) (21)  
 .2007.

- Ali,A.M. 2006. Effect of sulfur Application on salinity Tolerance of plant (c.f) Mohamed,A.L.,O.M.Ali and M.A.Matloub. 2007. *African Crop Sci.* Vol.8 oo: 1571-1578.
- Black,C.A.1965.Methods of soil analysis , part2.Chemical and Microbiological Properties .Madison ,Wisconsin, U.S.A .
- Blacke , G.R. 1965. Bulk Density : core Method p.375-377,(c.f)Black C.A. *et al.*, Methods of soil Analysis, Part 1, Agronomy, No. 9374-9390, Am. Soc.of Agro.Inc.Maison, wi.
- Dvaid B. 1981. Sources and methods in Geography- Soils P114-116, butter worths and Co Publisher Ltd, England .
- Day, P,R.1965. Methods of soil analysis , Part1, *Agronomy*, q:562-566.
- El-Morsy,E.A.2007 .Improvement of some soil physical properties and productivity (c.f) Mohamed,O.M.Ali and M.A. matloub2007.*African crop science conference proceedings* Vol.8 PP.1571-1578.
- Fertiliser Recomnedatios for agricultural and horticultorul crops .1979. ministry of Agricture, fisheries and food london stationery office (P.44).
- Mohamed ,A.I,O.M.Ali and M.A. Matloub .2007. Effect of soil amendmets on some physical and chemical properties of some soils of Egypt under saline irrigation water.*African Crop Science* Vol.8 PP: 1571-1578.

- Richards, L.A.1954.*Diagnosis and Improvement of saline and Alkali soils* .  
U.S. Dep.Agric Hand book, 60.
- Shabana ,M .K., M.M.Wassif, S.M.Saad and I.A.Ashour. 1998. Effect of soil  
amendments on the quality and some chemical properties of wheat  
yield under irrigation with Saline water condition. *Desert Institute  
Bulletin, Egypt*, 45: 197-207.
- Shahsavani ,S. and A. Gholami .2008. Effect of sulphur fertilization on  
Breadmaking Quality of three winter Wheat Varieties.*Pakistan Journal  
of Biological Sciences*, II (17): 2134-2138.
- Steel,R.G.D and J.H .Torrie .1980 . *principles and procedures of  
statistics*. M. Graw . Hill, New York.
- Wooding , A. R, S. Kavale , A. J. Wilson and E.L. Stoddard.2000.Effects of  
nitrogen and sulfur fertilization on commercial – scale wheat quality  
and mixing requirements: *cereal chem*.77 (6): 791-797.
- Zhao, F.J ,S.E .Salman , P.J.A withers ,E.J.Evans and J.M Monaghan.  
1999.Responses of bread making quality to sulphur in three wheat  
varieties. *J.sci. food Agric*.79: 1865-1871.

**THE EFFECT OF ANIMAL MANURE AND SULPHUR ON SOME SOIL PHYSICAL PROPERTIES , GROWTH AND YIELD OF BROAD BEAN *Vicia faba* UNDER SALINE CALCAREOUS SOIL CONDITION.**

Taha A. Alwan \*

Adnan A. Jasim\*

Luay D. Ferhan\*

\*College of Agriculture - University of Diyala.

**ABSTRACT**

Field experiment was conducted in silty clay alluvial calcareous salinized soil. Broad bean ( *Vicia faba* ), Spanish cultivar was grown during winter season 2009-2010, three animal manure levels ( Sheep residues ) 0,4 and 8 tons  $ha^{-1}$  and three sulphur % 98 treatments (0,2 and 4 ton  $ha^{-1}$ ) were used .Randomized Complete Block design in Factorial experiment was followed .

The results indicated to positive effect of both animal manure and sulphur application on some soil physical properties . Soil bulk density was reduced at two animal manure levels 4and8 ton  $ha^{-1}$  and sulphur fertilization treatments 2and 4ton  $ha^{-1}$  non significantly. Meanwhile, water infiltration rate increased significantly at the above treatments of animal manure, sulphur and their interaction .

The results indicated that some plant growth properties like plant height and No. of tillers / plant increased significantly and vegetative dry matter / plant non significantly as a response for the above treatments. On the other hand, some yield parameters namely , mean weight of pods/plant , weight of 1000 grains, total yield and pure total weight of grains per hectare were increased significantly under a favorable treatments under investigation . However , maximum grain yield reached about 2457  $kg ha^{-1}$  at the interactive treatment ( 8 ton animal manure + 4 tons sulphur  $ha^{-1}$ ) .The results did not indicate to an important increase in mean grain weight/ mean total pod weight ratio as well as in No. of pods/plant.

From the above results it can be deduced that both of sheep residues and sulphur are considered to be a good sources for plant nutrition and to improve the physical , chemical of soil properties .