

Rosa damascena Mill

								*
/	2009 /		<i>Rosa damascene</i>					
		1500 أو 500						.2009
1500		500						
	/	40 20						.
								.
								.
500								
	69.65			1500				
	²	89.38	/	100.25		3.86		
		500			² /	465.5		
1500								
	9.79		12.98	/	7.81			
				31.44		11.79		
64.96)			(/ 40)					
	(2	83.04)		(/	109.08)	(
	(29.4)			(12.45)		(2م/	458.2)	

تاريخ استلام البحث 2010 / 3 / 22 .

تاريخ قبول النشر 2010 / 5 / 3 .

() *Rosa damascena*
Damask
100 *Rosaceae*
)
× *R.gallica* .(
R.moschata Bayar وآخرين ، 2004).
%70
(1990)
)
(2003
(2004)
(1996)
13-12 7-6
Lee Young
(2005)
(2004) Alatei Hatium
وذكر Adachi (2007)

(2006)

(2006)

(أمين ، 2008) ونبات *Pinas tropicalis* (Morejon وآخرون 2007)
(2009) .

.ATP

(2005) Imiada .(2008 Rodman)

/ / 40 20 10

(2006)

/ 6

(2009)

/ 4

/ 50 30 20

R.damascena

2009/4/12

(1)

7×3

(1)

50

36

40 20 0

50

/

21

1500

500

()

(3)

Magnetron

.Grow more

Nested factorial experiment ()
Genstat

L.S.D

.(1990) 0.05

. 1

5.95	ds.m ⁻¹	
7.72		pH
669	1-	
247		
84		
رملية مزيجيه		
10.01	1-	
218		
45	1-	
8.3		
201		

.Grow more

. 2

Zn	Mo	Mn	Fe	Cu	B	K ₂ O	P ₂ O ₅	النتروجين الكلي 20%		
0.05%	0.0005%	0.5%	0.1%	0.05%	0.02%	20%	20%	20%	5.9%	3.9%

*

1500	500	()		
7.3	7.1	7.1	-	Ph
0.651	0.646	0.646	ديسيسيمنز/سم	Ec
292	291	289	ملغم/لتر	TDS
15	11	16	ملغم/لتر	TSS
240	270	360	ملغم/لتر	العسره
0.9999	0.9917	0.9965	غم/مل	الكثافة
55.8	58.6	70.8	داين/سم	الشد السطحي
88.1	96.19	100	ملغم/لتر	Ca ⁺⁺
24.8	29.1	26		Mg ⁺⁺
35	48	58		So ₄ ⁻⁻
70	65	60		Cl ⁻
8.86	19.93	10.18		No ₃ ⁻⁻
6.1	3.8	8.1		N
0.0003	0.0009	0.0009		P
1.8	1.9	1.9		K
0.05	0.02	0.02		Fe ⁺⁺
0.1	0.05	0.07		Zn ⁺⁺
Nil	0.016	0.03		Cu ⁺⁺
Nil	Nil	Nil		Mn ⁺⁺
Nil	Nil	Nil		B
1.71	1.63	1.60		Free chlorine

*

.1

1500	500	(A-4)	
69.65		500	500
	(B-4)	64.96	/ 40
-4) 75.69	P ₂ × 500	
			(C)

/ 1500
 500 10.04
 .(A-4)
 / 40 20 / 11.77 12.04
 .(B-4)
 .(C-4) / 12.88 $P_3 \times$
 (B-4) (A-4) 3.86 500
 . 3.65 / 20
 500
 .(جدول C-4) 4.20 $P_2 \times$
 / (A-4)
 1500 500
 100.25 500
 / 78.31 /
 . (جدول B-4) 109.08 86.19 / 40 20
 $P_3 \times$ 500
 .(جدول C-4) / 118.94
 89.38 500
 .(A-4)²
) / 40 20 ² 83.04 79.25
 $P_3 \times$ 500 .(B-4)
 .(جدول C-4) ² 97.75
 (A-4)
 1500 500
 / 465.5
 / 420.5 500
 / 40 20 . 1500
 .(جدول B-4)

P₃ × 500

.(جدول C-4) ² / 486.7

)

1500 500

(A-4

15.51 15.50

(B-4)

. 11.83

. 15.83

(/ 40)

500

.(جدول C-4) 17.87

P₃ ×

-2

(A-5)

/ 7.81

500

20

. 1500

/ 40

/ 6.71 6.75

.(B-5)

P₂ × 500

.(C-5) / 8.38

.4

=A

()	² /	(²)	/	()	/	()	
11.83	426.3	68.77	78.31	2.79	11.42	48.42	
15.50	465.5	89.38	100.25	3.86	10.90	69.65	500
15.51	420.5	77.02	91.85	3.62	10.04	58.08	1500
0.21	10.3	1.68	1.40	0.30	0.53	1.69	L.S.D 0.05

= B

12.57	416.0	72.88	75.15	3.32	8.54	48.75	/ 0 (P ₁)
14.44	438.2	79.25	86.19	3.65	12.04	62.44	/ 20 (P ₂)
15.83	458.2	83.04	109.08	3.31	11.77	64.96	/ 40 (P ₃)
0.19	7.6	1.26	1.66	0.16	0.47	0.99	L.S.D 0.05

×

: C

9.86	421.3	71.75	67.88	2.93	8.75	40.69	P₁	
12.48	418.6	65.25	68.50	2.99	12.63	51.12	P₂	
13.15	439.1	69.31	98.56	2.44	12.88	53.44	P₃	
13.46	445.0	78.38	82.31	3.49	8.75	57.81	P₁	500
15.16	464.9	92.00	99.50	4.20	12.50	75.69	P₂	
17.87	486.7	97.75	118.94	3.89	11.44	75.44	P₃	
14.38	381.7	68.50	75.25	3.53	8.13	47.75	P₁	1500
15.67	431.2	80.50	90.56	3.75	11.00	60.50	P₂	
16.48	448.8	82.06	109.75	3.59	11.00	66.00	P₃	
0.32	14.2	2.34	2.66	0.36	0.82	2.11	L.S.D. 0.05	

1500 500
 12.98 500
 .(A-5) 8.91
 .(B-5) 12.45 (/ 40)
 P₃ × 500
 .(C-5) 14.5
 (A-5)
 1500 500
 6.77 9.13 9.79
 .(B-5) 8.83
 P₃ × 500
 .(C-5) 10.56
 11.44 و 11.79
 .(A-5)
 .(B-5)
 P₃ × 1500
 × 12.69
 .(C-5)
 (A-5)
 500
 21.90 31.44
) 29.40 26.48 / 40 20
 P₂ × 500 .(B-5)
 .(C-5) 34.0

500

R. damascena

1500

(A-5

A-4)

1500

500

500

.(3)

13-12

7-6

.(1996)

.(2005) Lee Young

(3)

.(2004 Alatei Hatium)

. 5

= A

()	()	()	()	/	
21.90	6.98	6.77	8.91	4.90	
31.44	11.79	9.79	12.98	7.81	500
25.98	11.44	9.13	12.35	6.73	1500
0.71	0.50	0.26	0.29	0.44	L.S.D 0.05

=B

23.44	9.90	8.02	10.45	5.98	/ 0 (P ₁)
26.48	10.25	8.83	11.35	6.75	/ 20 (P ₂)
29.40	10.06	8.83	12.45	6.71	/ 40 (P ₃)
0.61	n.s	0.39	0.27	0.40	L.S.D 0.05

×

= C

19.75	6.94	6.56	8.79	4.75	P₁	
20.44	7.44	7.44	8.58	4.94	P₂	
25.50	6.56	6.31	9.36	5.00	P₃	
26.75	12.38	9.25	11.87	7.13	P₁	500
34.00	12.06	9.56	12.58	8.38	P₂	
33.56	10.94	10.56	14.50	7.94	P₃	
23.81	10.38	8.25	10.69	6.06	P₁	1500
25.00	11.25	9.50	12.89	6.94	P₂	
29.12	12.69	9.63	13.48	7.19	P₃	

1.07	0.75	0.60	0.47	0.68	L.S.D. 0.05
------	------	------	------	------	--------------------

/ 40 20

.(B-5 B-4)

/ 40 / 20

.

.(2008 Rodman)

2006 2005 Imaida) .(2009

Agrotonic .2006 .

/ / - .

/ . . .1990 .

.

.2006 .

/ / -

.1990 .

.

.2004 .

.

.2003 .

/

.2008 .

.93-84 :(3) 39 .

.2009.

.76-64 :36

.2009 .

.90-77 :36

.1996 .

Adachi. K.2007. The effect of magnetized water on plants. [http:// educate yourself org//lte/magnetized water on plantslhtm](http://educateyourself.org/lte/magnetized%20water%20on%20plantslhtm).

Bayar, N.G.,H.Bayar and T. Debner.2004. Analysis of genetic relationships among *R. damascena* plants grown un Turkey by using AFLP and microsatellite markers. Journal of Biotechnology 3: 263-267.

Hatium , M. and Alatei. 2004. Magnetic therapy. B.Sc. Project. Department of Physics/ College of Science and Technology/ Univ. of Sudan.

Imaida, W.J.,R.Suzuki, Li and H. Fukui.2005. Effect of phosphorus absorption of potted miniature roses grown in a recirculating. ISMS Acta Horticulture IV International symposium on rose research and cultivation.

Morejon, L.P., J.C. Palacio, L. Velazquez and A.P. Govea.2007. Stimulation of *Pinus topicalis* seeds by magnetically treated water lat. Agrophysics 21:173-177.

Rodman, P. 2008. Growing roses from A-Z.[http://davesgarden. Com/guides/455](http://davesgarden.Com/guides/455).

Young, I.C. and S. Lee.2005. Reduction in the surface tension of water due to physical water treatment for fouling control in heat exchanged. International communications in Heat and Mass transfer V. 32. Issue 1-2:1-9(Abst.) www//Isbu.ae.uk/water/ re/8.htal.

INFLUENCE OF MAGNETIZED WATER WITH DIFFERENT MAGNETIC FIELDS AND PHOSPHORUS FERTILIZATION ON GROWTH AND FLOWERING PARAMETERS OF *Rosa damascena* Mill

Sami K.M.Ameen

Nasreen K. Aziz

Nawal M. Alwan

*** Hort.Dept. - College of Agric. - University of Baghdad**

ABSTRACT

A study on the effect of irrigation with magnetized water and phosphorus fertilization on vegetative growth and flowering of *Rosa damascena* was conducted from April/ 2009 to Nov./2009. Water was magnetized by two magnetic fields levels. Magnetization was applied by passing regular water through magnetrons for one time. Magnetic field levels were 500 or 1500 gauss. Two concentrations of P fertilizers were sprayed ; 20 or 40 g/l. Control plants were sprayed with distilled water. Results were as follows :

Watering plants with magnetic water with both magnetic fields significantly increased plant height, branch diameter, no. of leaves, leaves area and vegetative dry weight. However, magnetized water decreased no. of branches/plant. Magnetized water with 500 gauss was more effective on all parameters. Plant height reached up to 69.65 cm.; branch diameter 3.86 cm.; no. of leaves /plant 100.25 ; leaves area 89.38 cm² ; leaves chlorophyll content 465.5 mg/m². Moreover, Magnetized water with 500 gauss was superior on all flowering parameters tested comparing with 1500 gauss. No. of flowers was 7.81 flowers/plant , flower diameter 12.98 cm. , flowering period 9.79 days, vase life 11.79 days and dry weight of flowering 31.44 g.

Spraying plants with P levels significantly increased all growth and flowering parameters. The higher level P (40 g/l) was more effective on plant height (64.96 cm.) ; no. of leaves (109,08 leaves/plant) ; leaves area (83.04 cm²) ; leaves chlorophyll content (458.2 mg/m²); flower diameter (12.45 cm.) and flowers dry weight (29.40g. However, P leaves were not effective on vase life parameter.

Most of interaction treatments between magnetized water and P concentrations were significantly increased all vegetative growth and flowering parameters.